FutureBridge

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY JULY 2026 EDITION

Kottmeyer's Almanac *on* MIDSTREAM

The Boardroom Brief: What Global Midstream Food Business C-Suite Needs to Know

BOARDROOM BRIEF

The midstream M&A wave of June 2026 was not about acquiring revenue. It was about acquiring time. Buyers paid for formulation expertise, regulatory permission, specialist processing and supply control because those capabilities take years to build and customers need them now. Most midstream boards still treat manufacturing scale as the advantage and technical capability as support. The transactions, plant investments and closures this month prove the opposite. A large network filled with inflexible lines, low-margin volume and undifferentiated capacity is not strategic scale. It is trapped capital. Scale without capability depth is already a burden, and the M&A calendar is the proof.

Your factory is no longer where strategy gets executed; it is where strategy succeeds or fails. Pricing power now depends on whether a formulation survives cost inflation and regulatory scrutiny. Customer confidence depends on whether traceability isolates a problem before it becomes a recall. Innovation speed depends on whether plants can handle tighter specifications, shorter runs and faster changeovers. Packaging determines shelf life, waste, line productivity and access to markets. These are not operational details sitting below the board agenda. They decide which products remain profitable, which claims remain defensible and which customers continue buying. If compliance, traceability, factory technology and packaging still enter your investment process as support functions, your organization is allocating capital against an operating model the market has already abandoned.

The mandate is control without rigidity. Decide which capabilities protect your highest-value profit pools and own those directly. Build optionality everywhere else through alternative formulations, suppliers, production routes and logistics networks. Stop funding installed volume that cannot meet tighter customer, regulatory or margin requirements. Exit plants and portfolios that consume management attention without creating pricing power or strategic access. The second-order effect is already visible: companies that failed to build formulation, compliance and traceability infrastructure organically are now competing for the same acquisition targets. They are paying control-premium prices for capabilities they could have built internally three years ago. That is the cost of treating the midstream as a service layer rather than the place where competitive advantage is created.

THE 7 STRUCTURAL THEMES

Theme 1

Capabilities Are Consolidating Around Scale

The current M&A cycle is not about adding revenue. It is about buying capabilities before competitors can build them. Processing expertise, proprietary formulations, ingredient systems and regional production now define strategic scale - not network size alone. For upstream players, larger integrated platforms can provide more predictable demand but also concentrate purchasing power. Across the midstream, consolidation expands formulation depth, manufacturing reach and category access while raising the burden of integrating plants, quality systems and supply networks. Downstream customers gain broader product and service capabilities, although fewer qualified suppliers may increase dependency on a smaller number of scaled partners.

INGREDIENT PLATFORMS BECOME CATEGORY-CONTROL ASSETS

The strategic logic behind Ingredion–Tate & Lyle and Döhler–Nukoko is not portfolio expansion; it is control over the technologies that determine what customers can formulate next. These transactions provide access to formulation expertise, application systems and alternatives to exposed commodities such as sugar and cocoa. Upstream, this can redirect demand toward new crops, fermentation inputs and specialized raw materials. In the midstream, integrated ingredient platforms can help manufacturers reformulate faster, support multiple claims and reduce the complexity of managing separate technology providers. Downstream, brands and retailers gain quicker access to differentiated products, although deeper dependence on a small number of formulation partners can reduce negotiating leverage and make supplier qualification more consequential.

SPECIALIST PROCESSING BECOMES THE NEW M&A CURRENCY

The buyers behind Solina–Epicurean Butter, Europastry–Highland Baking, Royal A-ware–Grupo TGT and Schouten–Bobeldijk are paying for processing capabilities that cannot be reproduced on a conventional investment timeline. The value lies in product knowledge, plant configuration, customer relationships and the ability to manufacture consistently within demanding categories. Upstream suppliers gain access to larger and more stable production platforms but face tighter specifications and consolidated procurement. Midstream buyers secure new formats, capacity and technical expertise without waiting for greenfield development. Downstream customers gain broader assortments and more scalable supply, while poor integration threatens service levels, product quality and innovation continuity.

REGIONAL CAPACITY IS BEING BOUGHT TO ACCELERATE MARKET ACCESS

Danone’s APAC acquisitions, Orkla’s purchases of TC Brød and Go-Tan, and the transactions involving La Doria and De Cecco use M&A to compress years of market entry into a single deal. Comparable local production and distribution networks would otherwise require lengthy permitting, customer development and operational learning. Upstream suppliers gain access to larger buyers but face stronger pressure to meet global quality and traceability standards. Midstream owners localize production, reduce freight exposure and adapt portfolios to regional demand. Downstream retailers gain a broader and more responsive supply base, but only when buyers preserve local market knowledge instead of imposing standardized global operating models.

Theme 2

Capacity Is Shifting to Higher-Value Assets

Manufacturing capital is being reallocated rather than expanded indiscriminately. Companies are investing in plants, processing lines and distribution assets that support premium formats, automation, category growth and stronger service economics, while closing or selling facilities that no longer justify their fixed costs. Upstream suppliers will increasingly need to support more specialized formulations, tighter specifications and higher-throughput operations. Within the midstream, the emphasis is moving from installed volume to productive capacity – the ability to switch SKUs, manage complexity, protect yield and serve demand reliably. Downstream customers benefit from more responsive and technically capable supply networks, but footprint rationalization can also remove redundancy and increase disruption risk where production becomes concentrated in fewer facilities.

GROWTH CAPITAL FOLLOWS VALUE-ADDED CATEGORIES

Capital from Ribena, Lactalis, Mondelēz, Nestlé and Mars is concentrating behind categories and formats capable of supporting stronger growth, differentiation and margin quality. The objective is not simply to produce more; it is to secure the processing capabilities required for specialized dairy, premium beverages, coffee and advanced pet nutrition. Upstream suppliers must provide consistent, specification-led ingredients and packaging suited to higher-value applications. Midstream manufacturers gain the flexibility and quality control needed to manage more complex products and premium claims. Downstream retailers receive stronger supply and category innovation. Premium capacity becomes a liability, however, when investment runs ahead of proven demand.

AUTOMATION IS BECOMING THE LICENCE TO EXPAND

Killer Brownie, Tetra Pak–Heler and Nestlé's California distribution investment are building expansion around automation, integrated material flow and faster order fulfilment rather than simply adding physical capacity. Labour availability, changeover complexity and service expectations make conventional expansion uneconomic without corresponding productivity gains. Equipment, packaging and technology providers become more deeply embedded in plant design and ongoing performance. Across the midstream, automated processing and distribution improve throughput, consistency, warehouse utilization and labour productivity. Downstream customers gain faster replenishment and stronger supply reliability, while operators assume greater dependence on system integration, maintenance capability and specialist technical support.

UNDERPRODUCTIVE ASSETS ARE LOSING THEIR STRATEGIC DEFENCE

The closures and asset sales involving Dairy Farmers of America, JBS, Schwebel Baking and former Harrisburg Dairies facilities remove the assumption that longevity protects manufacturing capacity. Weak utilization, ageing equipment, unfavorable locations and limited format flexibility now outweigh the historical value of keeping a facility open. Upstream suppliers can lose local demand abruptly, affecting farmers, ingredient providers and packaging businesses built around those plants. Midstream companies improve network economics by consolidating volume but sacrifice redundancy and local operating knowledge. Downstream customers face longer supply routes and fewer qualified production options, particularly where freshness and rapid replenishment matter.

Theme 3

Compliance Is Becoming an Operating Constraint

Regulation is moving beyond final-stage legal review and deeper into formulation, processing, packaging and commercial decisions. Food safety incidents, labelling changes, claims disputes, producer-responsibility rules and product taxes increasingly determine which ingredients can be used, how factories must operate and whether products can remain in market. Upstream suppliers face higher expectations around provenance, documentation and specification control. Midstream manufacturers must build regulatory requirements into product development, supplier assurance, production and traceability from the outset. Downstream retailers are becoming less tolerant of suppliers that create recall, claims or reputational exposure, while consumers are receiving more information but also confronting a more fragmented claims environment. Compliance capability is therefore becoming a condition of market access and customer trust.

FORMULATION IS BECOMING A REGULATORY FRONT LINE

Nestlé and Mars' colour-removal commitments, the Danone–Chobani protein dispute, Lactalis' Nutri-Score challenge and changing European GMO rules place formulation and claims directly inside regulatory strategy. Upstream ingredient suppliers must provide alternatives that meet performance, cost and documentation requirements within compressed reformulation timelines. Midstream manufacturers need tighter coordination across R&D, regulatory, procurement and production to avoid replacing a compliance failure with an operational or sensory one. Downstream retailers gain cleaner and more defensible propositions but face assortment disruption and inconsistent labelling as rules diverge. Companies that reformulate without sacrificing taste, yield or margin hold a direct advantage over slower competitors.

FOOD-SAFETY FAILURES ARE BECOMING BUSINESS-CONTINUITY EVENTS

Product recalls, contamination incidents, smaller-retailer non-compliance findings and the creation of Safe Food Victoria reinforce that food safety failures no longer remain confined to quality departments. They can stop production, remove products from shelves, trigger customer audits and expose weaknesses across supplier and plant-control systems. Upstream providers face deeper scrutiny of raw-material testing, sanitation and traceability. Midstream processors must improve environmental monitoring, preventive controls, documentation and corrective-action speed across both owned and outsourced facilities. Downstream retailers increasingly expect suppliers to provide near-immediate batch and distribution information during incidents. The strongest operators will not be those that claim zero risk, but those that detect deviations early, isolate exposure quickly and demonstrate control before a failure expands across the network.

PACKAGING, LABELLING AND TAX RULES ARE RESHAPING MARKET ECONOMICS

California's date-labelling requirements, New York's EPR debate, EU PPWR implementation, confectionery taxation and wider global labelling guidance pull regulation directly into packaging architecture and product economics. Upstream material and technology suppliers must help customers manage recyclability, information requirements and changing fee structures. Midstream manufacturers may need to redesign packs, alter production lines, update artwork and adjust formulations across different jurisdictions, increasing both capital needs and SKU complexity. Downstream retailers face transition costs and the risk of non-compliant inventory, while consumers may gain clearer information but ultimately absorb part of the additional cost. Regulatory fragmentation will favor companies that can standardize core platforms while adapting efficiently to local market requirements.

Theme 4

Factories Are Moving Toward Traceable Control

Factory technology is shifting from isolated automation toward connected control of yield, quality, safety and material movement. AI-enabled processing, intelligent sensors, calibration systems, metal detection and line-level traceability are being introduced not only to reduce labour, but to make production more visible and predictable. Upstream suppliers will increasingly be expected to provide consistent digital specifications and traceable material data. Midstream manufacturers can use connected systems to identify deviations earlier, reduce waste, improve changeovers and strengthen regulatory evidence. Downstream customers gain more consistent products and faster responses during quality or recall events. The strategic advantage will not come from owning the most technology, but from turning plant and supply data into better operating decisions across the network.

AI AND SENSORS ARE MOVING FROM EFFICIENCY TO YIELD CONTROL

Cargill's AI-enabled meat cutting and the adoption of intelligent sensor systems apply factory technology directly to the economics of raw-material conversion. In categories where minor variations in cutting, temperature or process conditions materially affect yield, real-time adjustment can produce more value than simple labour replacement. Upstream variation can be measured and managed more precisely instead of being treated as unavoidable loss. Midstream operators gain better control over giveaway, throughput and specification compliance, provided algorithms are supported by reliable data and experienced plant teams. Downstream customers benefit from more consistent product sizes, quality and availability. The commercial value will depend on whether technology improves total conversion economics rather than merely producing impressive pilot results.

TRACEABILITY IS BECOMING THE FACTORY'S OPERATING SYSTEM

Körber STEPLogic, Beamex calibration systems and expanded in-house printing capabilities point toward a factory model in which material identity, equipment status and process records are linked throughout production. Traceability is no longer only about locating a finished batch after an incident; it is increasingly being used to manage line clearance, specifications, maintenance and quality in real time. Upstream suppliers must provide more complete and interoperable data with incoming materials. Midstream manufacturers gain faster root-cause analysis, narrower recall scope and stronger audit readiness. Downstream retailers can receive more reliable product and compliance information. Fragmented systems, however, risk creating more data without providing better control, making integration and governance as important as the technology itself.

HYGIENE AND PRODUCT HANDLING ARE BECOMING ENGINEERED CAPABILITIES

Metal detection from Heat and Control, cleaner compressed-air systems, conveyor redesign and improved snack and cheese handling embed food safety and product consistency into factory infrastructure. These systems address risks that operator vigilance alone cannot control reliably. Equipment and sanitation providers become part of the plant's quality architecture and must support validation, maintenance and documentation. Midstream processors reduce contamination exposure, breakage, giveaway and unplanned downtime while protecting difficult-to-handle products. Downstream customers receive more consistent specifications and lower recall exposure. Quality is moving away from end-of-line inspection and into the physical conditions governing every stage of production.

Theme 5

Margin Pressure Is Forcing Portfolio Resets

Manufacturers are responding to weak volumes, persistent input costs and uneven pricing power by reassessing where they compete and which products still deserve capital. Restructuring, market exits and operating-model changes are occurring alongside investment in protein, collagen, non-dairy and other differentiated nutrition platforms. Upstream suppliers face weaker demand from businesses being rationalized but stronger opportunities where manufacturers are concentrating behind strategic growth categories. In the midstream, cost programmes alone will not restore returns if plants remain burdened by low-quality volume and excessive SKU complexity. Downstream customers may lose marginal products while gaining more focused innovation in defensible categories. Portfolio quality-rather than portfolio breadth—is becoming the more important measure of strategic health.

RESTRUCTURING IS SHIFTING FROM COST CUTTING TO PORTFOLIO TRIAGE

Kraft Heinz, General Mills, Synlait, Fonterra and Planethic are not only reducing costs; they are reconsidering business scope, asset allocation and the role of underperforming categories. Upstream suppliers may see demand consolidated around fewer products, plants or strategic partners. Midstream operators must distinguish between temporary cost inflation and businesses that lack a credible path to acceptable returns. This may require exits, plant restructuring and sharper decisions on where R&D and commercial resources are deployed. Downstream retailers could face reduced assortment in weaker categories but gain more reliable support behind priority platforms. The strategic test is whether restructuring releases capital for growth or merely makes a structurally weak portfolio temporarily less expensive to operate.

VOLUME WITHOUT PRICING POWER IS LOSING ACCESS TO CAPITAL

ABF's sugar-margin pressure, Libstar's volume challenges and Hormel's Brazil exit demonstrate that scale and throughput do not automatically translate into attractive economics. Businesses exposed to commodity pricing, weak differentiation or unfavorable regional structures can consume working capital and manufacturing capacity without generating adequate returns. Upstream suppliers face greater pressure on price and contract terms as processors attempt to recover margins. Midstream companies will increasingly evaluate volume by their contribution after complexity, capital and service costs rather than by factory utilization alone. Downstream customers may find suppliers less willing to support uneconomic products, small runs or excessive customization without compensation. Low-margin volume will need to earn its place in the network rather than being defended for market-share optics.

FUNCTIONAL NUTRITION IS BECOMING A PRIORITY MARGIN POOL

Ehrmann's collagen coffees, Hershey's protein bars, Forager's non-dairy creamers and funding for The Protein Brewery reflect a deliberate move toward products that combine convenience with credible nutrition and functionality. Upstream demand expands for proteins, cultures, fibers, flavors and formulation systems that deliver differentiated benefits without compromising taste or stability. Midstream manufacturers need more sophisticated blending, processing, claims validation and quality-control capabilities than conventional products require. Downstream retailers gain products with stronger premium and category-growth potential, while consumers receive a wider range of targeted nutrition options. The opportunity closes quickly for undifferentiated claims: functionality must deliver sensory performance and clear consumer relevance.

Theme 6

Trade Friction Is Redesigning Supply Chains

Tariffs, export barriers, geopolitical disruption and input volatility are forcing food companies to reconsider where they source, manufacture and hold inventory. The lowest-cost global route is no longer automatically the lowest-risk or most profitable once border uncertainty, logistics interruption and market-access conditions are included. Upstream producers face shifting demand as processors change origins and contract structures. Midstream manufacturers need greater optionality across suppliers, formulations, plants and transport routes. Downstream retailers may carry more regional products or inventory to protect availability, while consumers face the possibility of narrower choice or higher prices. Supply-chain strategy is therefore moving from static cost optimization toward active management of access, continuity and network flexibility.

TARIFFS ARE TURNING MARKET ACCESS INTO A NETWORK-DESIGN ISSUE

US–Mexico–Canada uncertainty, tariffs affecting UK food exports and changing access to the Mexican market have turned trade policy into an immediate determinant of production-network economics. Upstream suppliers gain or lose demand according to origin rules and tariff treatment. Midstream processors must decide whether to export products, reformulate locally, shift production to alternative plants or redirect volume to other markets. Downstream importers and retailers face changing landed costs and higher interruption risk. Companies with multiple production and sourcing routes hold the advantage. Market access is no longer only a commercial issue; it determines where capacity belongs and which facilities remain competitive.

INPUT VOLATILITY IS FORCING SOURCING REDUNDANCY

Food-security investment gaps, regenerative-sourcing concerns, grain-storage developments and wider commodity volatility make single-source efficiency an unacceptable operating assumption for critical inputs. Upstream producers offering credible traceability, consistent quality and regional availability gain strategic value even when they are not the lowest-cost option. Midstream manufacturers need alternative origins, substitute formulations and inventory buffers that can be activated before disruption reaches the plant. Downstream customers gain more stable availability, with part of the resilience cost flowing into pricing. The sourcing objective is no longer the cheapest contract; it is an input portfolio capable of surviving climate, policy and logistics shocks without stopping production.

LOGISTICS DISRUPTION IS MAKING REGIONAL INVENTORY STRATEGIC

Iran-related food-sector disruption and the Los Angeles frozen-food warehouse incident demonstrate how quickly logistics failures can affect input movement, storage and finished-product availability. Upstream suppliers may be unable to move products even when physical supply remains sufficient. Midstream processors face lost production, spoilage and service failures when warehouse or transport networks lack alternatives. Regional inventory, secondary warehouses and diversified logistics providers therefore become part of manufacturing resilience rather than administrative overhead. Downstream retailers gain greater protection from abrupt shortages, but higher inventory and duplication create additional cost. The objective is not to build excess everywhere; it is to identify the nodes whose failure would stop production or customer service and create practical alternatives before disruption occurs.

Theme 7

Packaging Is Becoming a Performance Lever

Packaging is being evaluated as part of the product and production system rather than as a standalone sustainability decision. Shelf-life extension, recyclable materials, low-carbon formats, food-contact coatings and digital labels show that the winning solutions must protect products, run efficiently on manufacturing lines and meet changing regulatory requirements. Upstream material providers are being asked to combine environmental performance with food-contact safety and reliable supply. Midstream processors must judge packaging by its effect on waste, throughput, changeovers and capital requirements. Downstream retailers benefit from longer shelf life, improved accessibility and stronger claims, while consumers gain better product protection and information. Sustainability remains important, but it will not compensate for a package that performs poorly in the factory or supply chain.

SHELF LIFE IS BECOMING PACKAGING'S MOST VALUABLE OUTCOME

Kepak's modified-atmosphere packaging and Tetra Pak's tuna-carton development use packaging to extend product life and reduce dependence on conventional formats. Gas, film, carton and coating suppliers become contributors to food preservation rather than simple material vendors. Upstream gas, film, carton and coating suppliers become contributors to food preservation rather than simple material vendors. Midstream processors can improve yield across the supply chain by reducing spoilage, returns and production losses, although new formats must be validated against food safety and line performance. Downstream retailers benefit from longer selling windows, lower markdowns and improved inventory flexibility. Consumers gain greater convenience and potentially less household waste. The strategic value lies in packaging's ability to preserve the food already produced—often a more immediate economic benefit than reducing pack weight alone.

MATERIAL TRANSITIONS MUST WORK ON THE PRODUCTION LINE

The ExxonMobil–LD Pack, LYB–Mondelēz, Amcor–Kelpi and Elopak collaborations are developing new packaging materials around recyclability and lower-carbon objectives. The harder task is ensuring that those materials seal, fill, print and move through existing equipment at commercial speeds. Upstream resin, coating and equipment providers must collaborate earlier to prevent material innovation from creating factory inefficiency. Midstream manufacturers may need line trials, specification changes and capital modifications before adoption can scale. Downstream customers gain more credible environmental formats only when product protection and supply reliability are maintained. A packaging transition that increases downtime, scrap or damage simply transfers environmental and financial cost to another part of the system.

ACCESSIBILITY AND DIGITAL INFORMATION ARE EXPANDING PACKAGING'S ROLE

Twinings' accessible QR packaging and developments in digital and in-house printing are turning packs into active information platforms. Packaging can now carry expanded preparation, provenance, allergen, accessibility and recycling information without overcrowding the physical label. Upstream technology, ink and printing providers gain a larger role in compliance and consumer communication. Midstream manufacturers can update information more efficiently and create stronger traceability links, but they must manage data accuracy and digital-version control. Downstream retailers gain additional tools for consumer engagement and product education, while consumers receive information in more usable formats. The opportunity is significant, although digital layers must complement – not replace – the mandatory information required on the physical pack.

CONSUMER-FACING CIRCULARITY BECOMES RECOVERY WAY

Nestlé Philippines' sachet reverse-vending test with Restore Solutions and Robinsons Supermarket's Smart Eco-llection Bins move circularity from brand commitments into consumer-facing recovery infrastructure. By rewarding consumers for clean, dry sachets, the model attempts to improve collection quality and participation in hard-to-recycle flexible packaging systems. Upstream, packaging producers, recyclers and waste-management partners gain clearer feedback on material recovery needs and design-for-recycling requirements. In the midstream, brands and retailers can test practical collection models, improve post-consumer material flows and build evidence for circularity claims. Downstream, consumers become active participants in recovery systems, while retailers strengthen sustainability engagement and brands gain a more credible route to address sachet-waste scrutiny.

STRATEGIC IMPLICATIONS FOR THE C-SUITE

Navigating the 2026 Midstream Control Shift

The convergence of margin pressure, regulatory intervention, technological change and trade fragmentation is redrawing the competitive boundaries of the food and beverage midstream. Traditional advantages built primarily on volume, purchasing scale and asset ownership are becoming less defensible. Growth will increasingly depend on controlling specialized capabilities, deploying capital selectively and building operating systems that can absorb disruption without sacrificing service or margin. The following implications provide a roadmap for boardroom action.

1. Use M&A to acquire capability control, not corporate scale.

The acquisition pattern across Ingredion–Tate & Lyle, Döhler–Nukoko, Solina–Epicurean Butter, Danone and Orkla confirms that the strongest buyers are targeting formulation expertise, specialist processing, ingredient systems and regional production access that cannot be built quickly. Acquisition criteria should focus on whether a target controls a critical category bottleneck, improves speed to market or strengthens customer dependency—not merely whether it adds revenue. Integration must preserve technical knowledge and connect plants, quality systems, procurement and commercial teams. Otherwise, the buyer adds organizational complexity without gaining meaningful control over category economics.

2. Redirect capital from installed volume toward productive capacity and higher-quality earnings.

Investments by Ribena, Lactalis, Mondelēz, Nestlé and Mars, alongside closures and divestments by DFA, JBS and Schwebel, demonstrate that companies are separating strategically valuable capacity from assets that merely keep volume moving. At the same time, restructuring at Kraft Heinz, General Mills, Synlait and Fonterra shows that low-margin products are also losing protection. Boards should assess plants and portfolios together, measuring their contribution after yield loss, labour dependency, SKU complexity, working capital and service costs. Capital should move toward flexible assets and differentiated categories that can sustain pricing, while facilities and products that absorb resources without strengthening future profit pools should be consolidated, repurposed or exited.

3. Treat compliance and traceability as commercial infrastructure

Recalls, colour reformulation, protein-claims disputes, GMO rules, date-labelling requirements and packaging legislation now place regulatory exposure across the entire chain—from ingredient sourcing and production to shelf access. Compliance can no longer be delegated primarily to legal or quality teams after commercial decisions have been made. Supplier assurance, formulation, claims substantiation, packaging design and batch-level traceability must operate as an enterprise system capable of identifying risks early and absorbing regulatory change without interrupting supply. Companies that provide rapid evidence of control gain customer trust and preferred-supplier status. Fragmented systems create recall, delisting and reputational exposure.

4. Reframe factory technology and packaging as margin-control system

AI-enabled processing, intelligent sensors, calibration, metal detection and line-level traceability are being deployed to control yield, consistency, safety and downtime, not simply to replace labour. Packaging developments in shelf-life extension, recyclable materials, food-contact coatings and digital labels serve the same economic objective by reducing waste, protecting products and preserving market access. Business-unit leaders should prioritize investments according to measurable improvements in conversion costs, product loss, line performance, service reliability and compliance readiness. Technology and packaging initiatives that cannot create value across the full production and distribution system are expensive innovation theatre.

5. Build supply-chain optionality before disruption forces uneconomic decisions

Tariffs, export restrictions, geopolitical disruption, input volatility and warehouse failures show that supply networks designed exclusively around lowest-cost sourcing are becoming structurally fragile. For chief supply-chain officers, procurement leaders and CFOs, resilience should be built around the inputs, facilities and logistics nodes whose failure would materially affect plant utilization or customer service. Alternative suppliers, substitute formulations, regional production routes and targeted inventory buffers should be developed before disruption occurs, when choices are broader and switching costs remain manageable. Optionality will create visible cost, but it should be assessed against the financial impact of emergency sourcing, stopped production, retailer penalties and lost market access—not against a stable base case that can no longer be assumed.

OUR TEAM

Meet our author and experts

As Group Chief Strategy Officer and Global Practice Lead for Food, Agriculture & Nutrition at FutureBridge, I have shaped my work over more than two decades of leadership across complex, regulated, and innovation-driven industries. The focus has consistently been on helping organizations navigate structural shifts in demand, regulation, and technology with greater clarity and confidence.

What defines this approach is a combination of strategic rigor, practical insight, and a strong focus on outcomes. Deep experience across food, agriculture, and nutrition continues to inform how clients assess change, unlock opportunities, and make critical decisions in evolving markets.

Richard Kottmeyer

Global Practice Leader - Food, Agriculture & Nutrition and Group Chief Strategy Officer

Sabrina Delay

Chief of Staff to the Group CSO and Global Head of Food, Agriculture and Nutrition

Prakhar Agarwal

Director – Food, Agriculture and Nutrition

Astha Mishra

Emerging Sector Voice - Midstream

Sunandita Pandit

Emerging Sector Voice - Midstream

ABOUT
FutureBridge

FutureBridge is a global innovation and strategy consulting firm that helps companies anticipate disruption, decode emerging markets and technologies, and convert future signals into growth decisions. The firm works with leadership teams across food and nutrition, life sciences, energy, chemicals, mobility and industrial sectors to support innovation strategy, market intelligence, technology scouting, portfolio prioritization and future ready growth planning.

500+	5,000+	30+
SPECIALISTS	INDUSTRY EXPERTS	INDUSTRIES

futurebridge.com

FutureBridge